

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,406	03/25/2004	Sergei Lyuksyutov	AFD 654	3214
26902 DEPARTMEN	7590 09/26/2007 T OF THE AIR FORCE		EXAM	MINER
AFMC LO/JAZ	Z		CULBERT,	ROBERTS P
2240 B ST., RI WRIGHT-PAT	M. 100 TERSON AFB, OH 454	33-7109	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	ŕ	•	1763	
•		· .	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2007	PAPER.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/817,406	LYUKSYUTOV ET	ΓAL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Roberts Culbert	1763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress		
Period for Reply	W V IO OFT TO EVOIDE A L	40NTU/0\ 0D TUDT\/ (0	0) 5 4) (0		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	March 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	١.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/or alastian requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 March 2004</u> is/are:			•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			• •		
	LAAITIITET. NOTE THE ATTACHE	d Office Action of form P1	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ata bara bara				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer2. Certified copies of the priority documer		unnlication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			Stane		
application from the International Bure		TOOSIVOU III (IIIS IVALIOIIUI	Olage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	` ` ',	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/25/04</u> .	6) Other:	• •	•		

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the publication "Electrostatic Nanolithography in Polymers using Atomic Force Microscopy" to Lyuksyutov et al.

Regarding Claims 1, 3, 5 and 7 Lyuksyutov et al. teaches the method of the invention substantially as claimed, including A polymer nanolithography method, comprising the steps of: providing an atomic force microscope; providing a highly conductive tungsten carbide cantilever tip within said atomic force microscope; providing a thin polymer film mounted upon a conductive wafer; installing said thin polymer film within said atomic force microscope; setting an initial tip-surface distance by applying a voltage to said cantilever tip such that no mechanical deformation of the surface of said polymer film during scanning occurs; and, forming nanoscale features upon said polymer by selectively varying said voltage applied to said cantilever tip to effect a desired localized softening of attoliters of said polymer by Joule heating said voltage being varied to generate a first level of current in said polymer for forming raised features and a second higher level of current for forming depressed features in said polymer.

Regarding Claims 2, 4, 6 and 8 Lyuksyutov et al. teach the step of erasing said polymer by annealing at a temperature greater than Tg for a sufficient time to remove said nanoscale features.

The presently claimed invention differs from Lyuksyutov et al. only in that a humidity of about 10-70% is specified. However, Official Notice is taken by the examiner that humidity is a well known result-effective variable for providing a current through air as shown in Lyuksyutov et al. It would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/817,406

Art Unit: 1763

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to optimize the result effective variable

within applicant's broadly recited range as a matter of routine experimentation.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

Page 3

be directed to Roberts Culbert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1433. The examiner can normally

be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

R. Culbert

Examiner

Art Unit 1763